Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome
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Original Effective Date: 04/01/2008
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Section: Transplants
Place(s) of Service: Outpatient; Inpatient

Precertification is required for this service.

I. Description
Multiple myeloma is a systemic malignancy of plasma cells that represents approximately 10% of all hematologic cancers. POEMS syndrome, characterized by polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M protein, and skin changes, is a rare, paraneoplastic disorder secondary to a plasma cell dyscrasia. Plasma cell dyscrasias are treatable but rarely curable. In some cases, hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is considered as therapy.

II. Policy

Multiple Myeloma

A. A single or second (salvage) autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation is covered to treat multiple myeloma.

B. Tandem autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation is covered to treat multiple myeloma in patients who fail to achieve at least a near-complete* or very good partial** response after the first transplant in the tandem sequence.

C. Tandem transplantation with an initial round of autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation followed by a non-marrow-ablative conditioning regimen and allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (ie, reduced-intensity conditioning transplant) is covered to treat newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients.
POEMS Syndrome
D. Autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation is covered to treat disseminated POEMS syndrome.

*A near-complete response, as defined by the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplant (EBMT) is the disappearance of M protein at routine electrophoresis, but positive immunofixation.

**A very good partial response has been defined as a 90% decrease in the serum paraprotein level.

III. Limitations

Multiple Myeloma
A. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation, myeloablative or nonmyeloablative, is not covered as upfront therapy of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma or as salvage therapy as it is not known to be effective in improving health outcomes.

POEMS Syndrome
B. Allogeneic and tandem hematopoietic cell transplantation are not covered to treat POEMS syndrome as they are not known to improve health outcomes.

IV. Policy Guidelines

A. The International Working Group on Myeloma has updated the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplant (EBMT) criteria to describe a complete response to multiple myeloma therapy. The criteria include negative immunofixation on the serum and urine; disappearance of soft tissue plasmacytomomas; and 5% or fewer plasma cells in bone marrow aspiration.

B. Patients with disseminated POEMS syndrome may have diffuse sclerotic lesions or disseminated bone marrow involvement.

V. Administrative Guidelines

A. Precertification is required for a transplant evaluation and for the transplant itself and should be submitted by the proposed treating facility. To precertify, please complete HMSA's Precertification Request and mail or fax the form as indicated along with the required documentation.

B. Applicable codes are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38204</td>
<td>Management of recipient hematopoietic cell donor search and cell acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38205</td>
<td>Blood-derived hematopoietic progenitor cell harvesting for transplantation, per collection, allogeneic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38206</td>
<td>Blood-derived hematopoietic progenitor cell harvesting for transplantation, per collection, autologous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transplant preparation of hematopoietic progenitor cells; cryopreservation and storage

Transplant preparation of hematopoietic progenitor cells; thawing of previously frozen harvest, without washing

; thawing of previously frozen harvest, with washing

; specific cell depletion with harvest, T-cell depletion

; tumor-cell depletion

; red blood cell removal

; platelet depletion

; plasma (volume) depletion

; cell concentration in plasma, mononuclear, or buffy coat layer

Bone marrow; aspiration only

Bone marrow; biopsy, needle or trocar

Bone marrow harvesting for transplantation; allogeneic

Bone marrow harvesting for transplantation; autologous

Bone marrow or blood-derived peripheral stem cell transplantation; allogeneic

Bone marrow or blood-derived peripheral stem cell transplantation; autologous

Allogeneic donor lymphocyte infusions

Chemotherapy administration code range

Chemotherapy drug code range

Cord blood harvesting for transplantation, allogeneic

Cord blood derived stem cell transplantation, allogeneic

Bone marrow or blood-derived stem cells (peripheral or umbilical), allogeneic or autologous, harvesting, transplantation, and related complications including pheresis and cell preparation/storage; marrow ablative therapy; drugs, supplies, hospitalization with outpatient follow-up; medical/surgical, diagnostic, emergency, and rehabilitative services; and the number of days of pre- and post-transplant care in the global definition

Multiple myeloma code range
### Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-10-PCS</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E88.09</td>
<td>Other disorders of plasma-protein metabolism, not elsewhere classified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Background

Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is a procedure in which hematopoietic stem cells are infused to restore bone marrow function in cancer patients who receive bone marrow toxic doses.
of cytotoxic drugs with or without whole body radiotherapy. Hematopoietic stem cells may be obtained from the transplant recipient (autologous HCT) or from a donor (allogeneic HCT [allo-HCT]). They can be harvested from bone marrow, peripheral blood, or umbilical cord blood shortly after delivery of neonates. Although cord blood is an allogeneic source, the stem cells in it are antigenically “naive” and thus are associated with a lower incidence of rejection or graft-versus-host disease (GVHD).

Immunologic compatibility between infused hematopoietic stem cells and the recipient is not an issue in autologous HCT. However, immunologic compatibility between donor and patient is a critical factor for achieving a good outcome of allo-HCT. Compatibility is established by typing of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) using cellular, serologic, or molecular techniques. HLA refers to the gene complex expressed at the HLA-A, -B, and -DR (antigen-D related) loci on each arm of chromosome 6. Depending on the disease being treated, an acceptable donor will match the patient at all or most of the HLA loci (with the exception of umbilical cord blood).

**Conventional Preparative Conditioning for HSCT**

The conventional (“classical”) practice of allo-HCT involves administration of cytotoxic agents (eg, cyclophosphamide, busulfan) with or without total body irradiation at doses sufficient to destroy endogenous hematopoietic capability in the recipient. The beneficial treatment effect of this procedure is due to a combination of initial eradication of malignant cells and subsequent graft-versus-malignancy (GVM) effect mediated by non-self-immunologic effector cells that develop after engraftment of allogeneic stem cells within the patient’s bone marrow space. While the slower GVM effect is considered to be the potentially curative component, it may be overwhelmed by extant disease without the use of pretransplant conditioning. However, intense conditioning regimens are limited to patients who are sufficiently fit medically to tolerate substantial adverse effects that include preengraftment opportunistic infections secondary to loss of endogenous bone marrow function and organ damage and failure caused by the cytotoxic drugs. Furthermore, in any allo-HCT, immunosuppressant drugs are required to minimize graft rejection and GVHD, which also increases susceptibility to opportunistic infections.

The success of autologous HCT is predicated on the ability of cytotoxic chemotherapy with or without radiation to eradicate cancerous cells from the blood and bone marrow. This permits subsequent engraftment and repopulation of bone marrow space with presumably normal hematopoietic stem cells obtained from the patient before undergoing bone marrow ablation. As a consequence, autologous HCT is typically performed as consolidation therapy when the patient’s disease is in complete remission. Patients who undergo autologous HCT are susceptible to chemotherapy-related toxicities and opportunistic infections before engraftment, but not GVHD.

**Reduced-Intensity Conditioning for Allogeneic HSCT**

Reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) refers to the pretransplant use of lower doses or less-intensive regimens of cytotoxic drugs or radiation than are used in traditional full-dose myeloablative conditioning treatments. The goal of RIC is to reduce disease burden and to minimize as much as possible associated treatment-related morbidity and nonrelapse mortality (NRM) in the period during which the beneficial GVM effect of allogeneic transplantation develops. Although the definition of RIC remains arbitrary, with numerous versions employed, all seek to balance the
competing effects of NRM and relapse due to residual disease. RIC regimens can be viewed as a continuum in effects, from nearly totally myeloablative to minimally myeloablative with lymphoablation, with intensity tailored to specific diseases and patient condition. Patients who undergo RIC with allo-HCT initially demonstrate donor cell engraftment and bone marrow mixed chimerism. Most will subsequently convert to full-donor chimerism, which may be supplemented with donor lymphocyte infusions to eradicate residual malignant cells.

For our purposes, the term reduced-intensity conditioning will refer to all conditioning regimens intended to be nonmyeloablative as opposed to fully myeloablative (traditional) regimens.

**Multiple Myeloma**

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a systemic malignancy of plasma cells that represents approximately 10% of all hematologic cancers. It is treatable but rarely curable. At diagnosis, most patients have generalized disease, and the selection of treatment is influenced by patient age, general health, prior therapy, and the presence of disease complications.

The disease is staged by estimating tumor mass, based on various clinical parameters such as hemoglobin, serum calcium, number of lytic bone lesions, and the presence or absence of renal failure. MM usually evolves from an asymptomatic premalignant stage (termed monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance). Treatment is usually reserved for patients with symptomatic disease (usually progressive myeloma), whereas asymptomatic patients are observed, because there is little evidence that early treatment of asymptomatic MM prolongs survival compared with therapy delivered at the time of symptoms or end-organ damage. In some patients, an intermediate asymptomatic but more advanced premalignant stage is recognized and referred to as smoldering MM. The overall risk of disease progression from smoldering to symptomatic MM is 10% per year for the first 5 years, approximately 3% per year for the next 5 years, and 1% for the next 10 years.

**POEMS Syndrome**

POEMS syndrome (also known as osteosclerotic myeloma, Crow-Fukase syndrome, or Takatsuki syndrome) is a rare, paraneoplastic disorder secondary to a plasma cell dyscrasia. This complex, multiorgan disease was first described in 1938, but the acronym POEMS was coined in 1980, reflecting hallmark characteristics of the syndrome: polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M protein, and skin changes. No single test establishes the presence of POEMS syndrome. Its pathogenesis is undefined, although some evidence has suggested it is mediated by imbalance of proinflammatory cytokines including interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor α; vascular endothelial growth factor may also be involved. However, specific criteria have been established, and the syndrome may entail other findings in the constellation of signs and symptoms, as shown in Table 1. Both major criteria and at least 1 of the minor criteria are necessary for diagnosis.

**Table 1: Criteria for the Diagnosis of POEMS Syndrome**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Criteria</th>
<th>Minor Criteria</th>
<th>Known Associations</th>
<th>Possible Associations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyneuropathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monoclonal plasma-proliferative disorder</th>
<th>Sclerotic bone lesions</th>
<th>Clubbing</th>
<th>Pulmonary hypertension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castleman disease</td>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>Restrictive lung disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organomegaly</td>
<td>Thrombocytosis</td>
<td>Thrombotic diatheses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, or lymphadenopathy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema (edema, pleural effusion, or ascites)</td>
<td>Polycythemia</td>
<td>Arthralgias</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrinopathy</td>
<td>Hyperhidrosis</td>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(adrenal, thyroid, pituitary, gonadal, parathyroid, pancreatic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin changes</td>
<td>Hyperhidrosis</td>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(hyperpigmentation, hypertrichosis, plethora, hemangiomata, white nails)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papilledema</td>
<td>hyperhidrosis</td>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prevalence of POEMS syndrome is unclear. A national survey in Japan showed a prevalence of about 0.3 per 100,000. Other large series have been described in the United States and in India. In general, patients with POEMS have a superior overall survival compared with that of MM (nearly 14 years in a large series). However, given the rarity of POEMS, no randomized controlled trials of therapies have been reported. Numerous approaches have included ionizing radiation, plasmapheresis, intravenous immunoglobulin, interferon-α, corticosteroids, alkylating agents, azathioprine, tamoxifen, transretinoic acid, and high-dose chemotherapy with autologous HCT support. Optimal treatment involves eliminating the plasma cell clone (eg, by surgical excision or local radiotherapy for an isolated plasmacytoma) or systemic chemotherapy in patients with disseminated disease (eg, medullary disease or multiple plasmacytomas). Given the underlying plasma cell dyscrasia of POEMS syndrome, newer approaches to MM, including bortezomib, lenalidomide, and thalidomide, are also under investigation.

**VII. Rationale**

This evidence review was originally created in July 1998 and has been updated regularly with searches of the MEDLINE database. The earliest versions of this review were informed by two 1996 and two 1998 TEC Assessments. Since 1999, the treatment of multiple myeloma (MM) has changed radically. POEMS syndrome was added to this review in 2013.
The current literature search was conducted through July 13, 2016. No new evidence was identified that would support a change in any of the review conclusions on MM.

**Multiple Myeloma Treatment Overview**

In the prechemotherapy era, the median survival for a patient diagnosed with MM was approximately 7 months. After the introduction of chemotherapy (eg, the alkylating agent melphalan in the 1960s), prognosis improved, with a median survival of 24 to 30 months and a 10-year survival of 3%. In a large group of patients with newly diagnosed MM, there was no difference in overall survival (OS) reported during a 24-year period from 1971-1994, with a trend toward improvement during 1995-2000, and a statistically significant benefit in OS during 2001-2006. These data suggested that autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) was responsible for the trends during 1994-2000, while novel agents have contributed to the improvement since 2001.

The introduction of novel agents and better prognostic indicators has been the major advances in the treatment of this disease. Novel agents such as the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib and the immunomodulatory derivatives thalidomide and lenalidomide first showed efficacy in relapsed and refractory myeloma and now have been integrated into first-line regimens. With the introduction of these novel treatments, it is now expected that most patients with MM will respond to initial therapy, and only a small minority will have refractory disease.

**NEWLY DIAGNOSED MM**

**Risk-Adapted Therapy**

The approach to the treatment of newly diagnosed MM (symptomatic) is dictated by eligibility for autologous HCT and risk-stratification. Risk stratification, using fluorescent in situ hybridization and conventional karyotyping, divides patients into high- or standard-risk categories.

High-risk patients, which comprise approximately 25% of patients with MM, are defined by any of the following cytogenetic findings: 17p deletion, translocations of chromosomes 4 and 14, chromosomes 14 and 16, chromosomes 14 and 20, deletion 13, or hypodiploidy. Standard-risk patients are those with hyperdiploidy (translocations of chromosomes 11 and 14 and chromosomes 6 and 14).

High-risk patients are generally treated with a bortezomib-based induction followed by autologous HCT and then bortezomib-based maintenance. Standard-risk patients are typically treated with non-alkylator-based therapy (eg, lenalidomide plus low-dose dexamethasone) followed by autologous HCT; however, if the patient is tolerating the induction regimen well, an alternative strategy would be to continue the initial therapy after hematopoietic stem cell collection, reserving the transplant for first relapse.

Recent reviews highlight the treatment of newly diagnosed myeloma (2011) as well as relapsed and refractory myeloma (2011). A 2011 review of the literature has highlighted advances in the use of autologous and allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT).

**Autologous HCT versus Standard Chemotherapy**

**Randomized Controlled Trials**
One 2015 randomized controlled trial (RCT) compared autologous HCT to standard chemotherapy plus lenalidomide, a newer agent for treatment of MM. The open-label RCT from 59 centers in Europe and Australia used a 2×2 factorial design to compare 4 groups (1) standard consolidation therapy plus HCT, followed by maintenance with lenalidomide alone, (2) standard consolidation therapy plus HCT, followed by maintenance with lenalidomide and prednisone, (3) consolidation with chemotherapy plus lenalidomide, followed by maintenance with lenalidomide alone, and (4) consolidation with chemotherapy plus lenalidomide, followed by maintenance with lenalidomide plus prednisone. The primary outcome was progression-free survival (PFS). Mean follow-up at the time of publication was 52 months. Median PFS was superior for the HCT group plus standard consolidation (43.3 months; 95% confidence interval [CI], 33.2 to 52.2 months) compared to chemotherapy plus lenalidomide (28.6 months; 95% CI, 20.6 to 36.7 months; p<0.0001). The rate of grade 3 or 4 adverse events was higher for the HCT group than for the chemotherapy groups (hematologic events, 84% vs 26%; gastrointestinal complications, 20% vs 5%; infections, 19% vs 5%; all respectively).

Based on several prospective, randomized trials comparing conventional chemotherapy to high-dose therapy plus autologous HCT for patients with MM, autologous HCT has become the treatment of choice in patients younger than 65 years of age.

7 randomized studies are available. In all but 1 study (Barlogie et al [2006]), the complete response (CR) rate was superior in the high-dose chemotherapy plus autologous HCT arm. The Barlogie study published final results from the phase 3 S9321 trial, which was initiated in 1993 and randomized 516 patients with MM to standard therapy or to myeloablative conditioning with melphalan 140 mg/m2 plus total body irradiation followed by autologous HCT. These trialists reported virtually no difference in outcomes, including response rates, PFS, and OS. In 5 of the 7 studies, the superior CR rate translated into significant increases in PFS. However, in the 2 studies that did not show an improved PFS with autologous HCT, randomization was not performed at diagnosis but only after induction treatment, possibly introducing selection bias. Three of the 7 studies showed superior OS in the autologous HCT group.

The Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome (IFM) showed the superiority of high-dose chemotherapy plus autologous HCT compared with conventional chemotherapy in a 1996 randomized trial of 200 patients younger than 65 years of age. The group that underwent autologous HCT had significantly improved response rates, event-free survival (EFS), and OS. Seven years later, the British Medical Research Council published similar results.

Systematic Reviews

A 2007 systematic review of 2411 patients enrolled in RCTs compared standard-dose chemotherapy to myeloablative chemotherapy plus single autologous HCT. Meta-analysis concluded that myeloablative therapy with autologous HCT increased the likelihood of PFS (hazard ratio [HR] of progression, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.96) but not OS (HR of death, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.13); in this group, the odds ratio for treatment-related mortality (TRM) was 3.01 (95% CI, 1.64 to 5.50). However, the effects of myeloablative chemotherapy and autologous HCT may have been underestimated because up to 55% of patients in the standard chemotherapy group received...
myeloablative chemotherapy with autologous HCT as salvage therapy when MM progressed. This could account for the lack of a significant difference in OS between the 2 groups.

Subsection Summary: Autologous HCT vs Standard Chemotherapy

For individuals with newly diagnosed MM, evidence from multiple RCTs has suggested that high-dose chemotherapy with autologous HCT is superior to standard chemotherapy in PFS, and possibly OS.

Tandem Transplant

Tandem HCT involves an autologous transplant followed by a preplanned second transplant, either another autologous or a reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) allogeneic transplant. A tandem transplant differs from a second salvage transplant in that a tandem transplant involves prospective planning for a second transplant at the time the first transplant is being planned.

Tandem Autologous HCT

The first randomized trial of tandem autologous transplants (IFM-94) was published in 2003 by Attal et al.30 This trial randomized patients with newly diagnosed myeloma to single or tandem autologous transplants. Outcomes were analyzed by intention to treat (ITT) at 75-month follow-up. Among those randomized to single transplants (n=199), 148 relapsed: 33 were salvaged with a second autotransplant, 13 received no salvage, and the remainder received conventional chemotherapy plus thalidomide. Among those randomized to tandem autotransplants (n=200), 129 patients experienced disease relapse: 34 received salvage therapy with another (third) transplant, 12 received no salvage, and the remainder received conventional chemotherapy plus thalidomide. Seven years after diagnosis, patients randomized to tandem transplants had higher probabilities than those randomized to single transplants for EFS (20% vs 10%; p=0.03), relapse-free survival (RFS; 23% vs 13%; p<0.01), and OS (42% vs 21%; p=0.010), all respectively. TRM was 6% and 4% after tandem and single transplants, respectively (p=0.40). Second transplants extended survival only for those who failed to achieve a CR or without a very good partial response after 1 transplant (OS at 7 years, 43% vs 11%, respectively; p<0.001).

An accompanying editorial by Stadtmauer (2003) raised concerns that IFM-94 results might be specific to the regimens used for myeloablative therapy.31 Patients in the single transplant arm received melphalan 140 mg/m2 plus total body irradiation (TBI), while those in the tandem arm received the same dose without TBI for the initial transplant and with TBI for the second transplant. The editorial cited the IFM-95 study as evidence, suggesting melphalan 140 mg/m2 plus TBI may be less effective and more toxic than myeloablative therapy plus melphalan 200 mg/m2 and no TBI. Based on this, the editorialist hypothesized that increased survival in the IFM-94 tandem arm may have resulted from greater cumulative exposure to melphalan (280 mg/m2 vs 140 mg/m2).

The Bologna 96 clinical study (2007) compared single and double autologous HCT (N=321).32 Patients undergoing tandem autologous HCT were more likely than those with a single autologous HCT to attain at least a near CR (47% vs 33%; p=0.008), to prolong RFS (median, 42 months vs 24 months; p<0.001), and extend EFS (median, 35 months vs 23 months; p=0.001), all respectively. There was no significant difference between groups in TRM (3%-4%). There was a trend for improved OS among patients in the double transplant group (7-year rate, 60%) compared with the
single transplant group (7-year rate, 47%; p=0.10). Conversely, among patients achieving CR or near CR after 1 transplant, EFS and OS estimates did not differ significantly according to transplant(s) received by study randomization. A subgroup analysis of outcomes of patients assigned to the 2 treatment arms, conducted by treatment response, showed that the benefit of a second transplant was particularly evident in patients who failed to achieve at least near CR after the first autologous transplant.

**Subsection Summary: Tandem Autologous HCT**

Compared with single autologous HCT, a number of RCTs have demonstrated tandem autologous RCTs improved OS and recurrence-free survival in newly diagnosed MM.

**Tandem Autologous HCT Followed by RIC Allogeneic HCT**

Several trials have compared RIC allo-HCT following single or tandem autologous HCT. These trials were based on “genetic randomization,” ie, patients with an human leukocyte antigen (HLA) identical sibling who were offered RIC allo-HCT following the autologous HCT, whereas the other patients underwent either single or tandem autologous transplants.

The first published, by Garban et al (2006), included high-risk patients. Sixty-five patients were in the autologous followed by RIC allogeneic group and 219 in the tandem autologous (autologous plus autologous) HCT group. Based on the ITT analysis, there was better median EFS and OS in the tandem autologous HCT group than in the RIC allo-HCT group (35 months vs 31.7 months, p=NS; 47.2 months vs 35 months, p=0.07, respectively). If results for only those patients who received autologous HCT followed by RIC allo-HCT (n=46) or tandem autologous HCT (n=166) were analyzed, the superior OS was again seen in the tandem autologous group (median, 47.2 months vs 35 months; p=0.07). Updated results from this population were reported in 2008 by Moreau et al. Comparing the results of the 166 patients who completed the whole tandem autologous HCT protocol to the 46 patients who underwent the entire autologous followed by RIC allogeneic program, no difference was seen in median EFS (25 months vs 21 months, respectively; p=0.88), with a trend toward superior median OS in favor of double autologous HCT (57 months vs 41 months, respectively; p=0.08), due to longer survival after relapse in the tandem autologous transplant arm.

A study by Bruno et al (2007) included 80 patients with an HLA-identical sibling who were allowed to choose allografts or autografts for the second transplant (58 completed an autograft or allograft sequence) and 82 without an HLA-identical sibling who were assigned to tandem autografts (46 completed the double autograft sequence). Results among those completing tandem transplantation showed a higher CR rate after the second transplant for the autologous plus allo-HCT group (55%) than for the tandem autologous HCT group (26%; p=0.004). EFS and OS were superior for patients who underwent autologous plus allogeneic transplantation than for the tandem autologous transplantation (35 months vs 29 months; p=0.02; 80 months vs 54 months; p=0.01, respectively). Comparing the group with HLA-identical siblings and those without, in a pseudo-ITT analysis, EFS and OS were significantly longer in the group with HLA-identical siblings. The TRM rate at 2 years was 2% in the tandem autologous group and 10% in the autologous plus allogeneic group; 32% of the latter group had extensive, chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD).
Rosinol and colleagues et al (2008) reported the results of a prospective study of 110 patients with MM who failed to achieve at least near CR after a first autologous HCT and were scheduled to receive a second autologous transplant (n=85) or an RIC allogeneic transplant (n=25), depending on the availability of an HLA-identical sibling donor. The autologous followed by RIC allogeneic group had a higher CR rate (40% vs 11%, respectively; p=0.001) and a trend toward a longer median PFS (31 months vs not reached, respectively; p=0.08). There were no statistical differences in EFS or OS estimates between groups. The autologous followed by RIC allogeneic group experienced a higher TRM rate (16% vs 5%, respectively; p=0.07) and had a 66% chance of chronic GVHD.

Although results differed between the Garban (2006) and the Moreau (2008) studies and the Bruno (2007) and the Rosinol (2008) studies, these differences may have been due to study designs. The Moreau study focused on patients with high-risk disease and involved a conditioning regimen before the RIC allogeneic transplant that may have eliminated some of the graft-versus-myeloma effect. Other contributing factors may have been nonuniform preparative regimens, different patient characteristics and criteria for advancing to a second transplant (ie, only patients who failed to achieve a CR or near CR after the first autologous transplant underwent a second), and a small population in the allogeneic group in the Moreau study. Reviewers suggested that the subgroup of high-risk patients with de novo MM may have had equivalent or superior results with a tandem autologous HCT versus a tandem autologous plus RIC allo-HCT and that, in patients with standard-risk and/or chemosensitive MM, RIC allograft may be an option.

Interim meeting abstracts for 2 prospective phase 3 trials comparing double autologous with single autologous followed by RIC allogeneic transplant have been published. The HOVON Group study (2008) at 36-month follow-up, found no significant differences between groups that received autologous followed by RIC allogeneic transplants or tandem autologous transplants in median EFS (34 months and 28 months, respectively) or in OS (80% and 75%, respectively. The other interim analysis of an European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplant (EBMT) study (2008) presented different inclusion criteria.38 Previously untreated patients received vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone (VAD) or VAD-like induction treatment, and had a response status of at least stable disease (ie, complete or partial remission or stable disease) at the time of autologous transplantation, which was also the time point for study inclusion. Patients with an HLA-identical sibling proceeded to RIC allo-HCT, while those without a matched sibling received no further treatment or a second autologous cell transplant (if treated within a tandem program). A total of 356 patients were included, with a median follow-up of 3.5 years. Of these, 108 patients were allocated to the RIC allo-HCT group and 248 to the autologous transplant group. Of patients allocated to the allogeneic group, 98 received an RIC allogeneic transplant. At interim reporting, no significant differences in PFS or OS estimates were noted between the tandem autologous HCT recipients and tandem autologous plus allo-HCT recipients.

At 96 months in the EBMT trial (2013), PFS and OS rates were 22% and 49% versus 12% (p=0.027) and 36% (p=0.030) for tandem autologous plus RIC allo-HCT versus autologous HCT, respectively.39 The corresponding relapse or progression rates were 60% and 82% (p<0.001), respectively. Nonrelapse mortality (NRM) rates at 36 months were 13% versus 3% (p<0.001), respectively. In patients with the chromosome 13 deletion (del[13]) , corresponding PFS and OS estimates were 21% versus 5% (p=0.026) and 47% versus 31% (p=0.154), respectively.39 Long-term outcomes in
patients with MM were better with autologous HCT followed by RIC allo-HCT than with autologous HCT only, and the autologous followed by RIC allogeneic approach seemed to overcome the poor prognostic impact of del(13) observed after autologous transplantation.

Krishnan et al (2011) conducted a phase 3 trial comparing tandem autologous HCT versus tandem autologous HCT plus RIC allo-HCT (tandem auto-allo group) in patients from 37 transplant centers in the United States, who, between 2003 and 2007, had received an autologous HCT (n=710).40 Of these patients, 625 had standard-risk disease, and 156 (83%) of 189 patients in the tandem auto-allo group and 366 (84%) of 436 in the tandem autologous group received a second transplant. Patients were eligible for transplantation if they were younger than 70 years of age and had completed at least 3 cycles of systemic therapy for myeloma within the past 10 months. Patients were assigned to receive a second autologous or allo-HCT based on the availability of an HLA-matched sibling donor. Patients in the tandem autologous group subsequently underwent random assignment to observation (n=219) or to maintenance therapy with thalidomide plus dexamethasone (n=217). Kaplan-Meier estimates of 3-year PFS were 43% (95% CI, 36% to 51%) in the tandem auto-allo group and 46% (42% to 51%) in the tandem autologous group (p=0.67). OS also did not differ at 3 years (77% [95%, CI, 72% to 84%] vs 80% [CI, 77% to 84%]; p=0.19). Grade 3, 4, or 5 morbidity rates between the 2 groups were 46% and 42%, respectively. The data suggested nonmyeloablative tandem auto-allo-HCT was no more effective than tandem autologous HCT for patients with standard-risk myeloma.

Subsection Summary: Tandem Autologous HCT Followed by RIC Allo-HCT

Although the body of evidence has shown inconsistencies in terms of OS and disease-free survival rates, some studies have shown a survival benefit with tandem autologous HCT followed by RIC allo-HCT, although at a cost of higher TRM compared with conventional treatments.

Allo-HCT

Although myeloablative allo-HCT may be the only curative treatment in MM (due to its graft-versus-myeloma effect), its use has been restricted to younger patients. Even with the limited indications, the toxicity-related death rate for infections and GVHD is high, and this strategy has been almost completely abandoned.

In an approach to reduce NRM associated with allo-HCT, nonmyeloablative conditioning (RIC) methods have been investigated. Most studies are phase 2, with no comparison with other treatment modalities. One retrospective study compared myeloablative and nonmyeloablative conditioning. This study, conducted by EBMT, found that TRM was significantly reduced with RIC but, because of a higher relapse or progression rate, there was no significant improvement in OS.

When RIC allo-HCT alone is used in patients with a high tumor burden or with chemotherapy-resistant disease, the immunologic effect of the graft is not sufficient to preclude relapses. Therefore, RIC allogeneic transplantation is currently used after tumor mass reduction with high-dose chemotherapy and autologous HCT.

Section Summary: Allo-HCT

The role of allo-HCT remains controversial, in particular because of conflicting data from cooperative group trials, but also because of improvement in outcomes with proteasome
inhibitors, new immune modulatory agents, and the use of posttransplant maintenance therapy. These issues were reviewed and summarized in 2013 and 2014. The evidence for allo-HCT is insufficient to draw conclusions.

**RELAPSED OR REFRACTORY MM**

**Salvage Autologous HCT for Relapsed MM**

Despite improved survival rates with autologous HCT versus conventional chemotherapy, many patients will relapse and require salvage therapy. Therapeutic options for patients with relapsed MM after a prior autologous HCT include novel biologic agents (eg, thalidomide, lenalidomide, bortezomib, as single agents, or in combination with dexamethasone, or in combination with cytotoxic agents or with each other), traditional chemotherapy, or a second HCT.

The Myeloma X Relapse trial was a multicenter, randomized, open-label, phase 3 study involving 51 centers across the United Kingdom, with enrollment occurring between April 2008 and November 2012. Inclusion criteria were patients at least 18 years and with MM who needed treatment for first progressive or relapsed disease at least 18 months after a previous autologous HCT (NCT00747877; EudraCT 2006-005890-24). Before randomization, eligible patients received bortezomib, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone (PAD) induction therapy and then underwent peripheral blood stem cell mobilization and harvesting, if applicable. Eligible patients were randomized (1:1) to high-dose melphalan 200 mg/m2 plus salvage autologous HCT or to oral cyclophosphamide 400 mg/m2/wk for 12 weeks. The primary end point was time to disease progression, analyzed by ITT. A total of 297 patients were enrolled, of whom 293 received PAD reinduction therapy. Among the latter, 174 patients with sufficient harvest of peripheral blood stem cells were randomized to salvage HCT (n=89) or cyclophosphamide (n=85). After a median follow-up of 31 months, median time to progression was significantly longer in the salvage HCT group (19 months; 95% CI, 16 to 25 months) than in the cyclophosphamide group (11 months; 95% CI, 9 to 12 months; HR=0.36; 95% CI, 0.25 to 0.53; p<0.001). Frequently reported (>10% of patients) grade 3 or 4 morbidity with PAD induction, salvage HCT, and cyclophosphamide were: neutropenia (43% [125/293] patients receiving PAD vs 76% [63/83] patients receiving salvage HCT vs 13% [11/84] patients receiving cyclophosphamide), thrombocytopenia (51% [150] after PAD, 72% [60] vs 5% [4]), and peripheral neuropathy (12% [35] after PAD, and none vs none), all respectively.

Final survival data for the Myeloma X Relapse trial were reported in 2016. The HCT group had superior median OS (67 months; 95% CI, 55 months to not estimable) compared to the chemotherapy group (52 months; 95% CI, 42 to 60 months; p<0.001). Time to disease progression continued to favor the HCT group at the longer follow-up (19 months [95% CI, 16 to 26 months] vs 11 months [95% CI, 9 to 12 months]; p=0.02). There were no further adverse events related to the HCT procedure reported during longer follow-up. The cumulative incidence of second malignancies was 5.2% (95% CI, 2.1% to 8.2%).

**Tandem Autologous HCT for Relapse After First Autologous HCT**

A 2003 evidence-based systematic review sponsored by the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation summarized data from 4 relevant clinical series. Reviewers reported that some myeloma patients who relapsed after a first autotransplant achieved durable complete or
partial remissions after a second autotransplant as salvage therapy. Factors found to increase the likelihood of durable remissions and extend survival included a chemosensitive relapse, younger age, a long disease-free or progression-free interval since the initial autotransplant, and fewer chemotherapy regimens before the initial autotransplant. Olin et al (2009) reported their experience with 41 patients with MM who received a second salvage autologous HCT for relapsed disease. Median time between transplants was 37 months (range, 3-91 months). Overall response rate in assessable patients was 55%. TRM was 7%. Median follow-up time was 15 months, with median PFS of 8.5 months and median OS 20.7 months. In a multivariate analysis of OS, the number of prior lines of therapy (≥5) and time to progression after initial transplant were the strongest predictors of OS.

**Allo-HCT for Relapse After Initial Autologous HCT**

Qazilbash et al (2006) reported their experience with salvage autologous or allogeneic transplantation after a failed first autologous transplant. Fourteen patients (median age, 52 years) received a second autologous transplant and 26 patients (median age, 51 years) underwent a RIC allo-HCT. Median interval between first and second transplant was 25 months for the autologous group and 17 months for the allogeneic group. After a median follow-up of 18 months (range, 2-69 months) for the autologous group, median PFS was 6.8 months and OS was 29 months. After a median follow-up of 30 months (range, 13-66 months) for the allogeneic group, median PFS was 7.3 months and OS was 13 months. Univariate analysis in the allogeneic group found that an interval of more than 1 year between the first and salvage transplants predicted a significantly better OS (p=0.02). None of the prognostic factors evaluated for the allogeneic group had a significant impact on survival in the autologous group (eg, age, cytogenetics, type of donor, chronic GVHD).

EBMT (2013) analyzed 413 MM patients who received a related or unrelated RIC allo-HCT for the treatment of relapse or disease progression after a prior autologous HCT. Median age at RIC allo-HCT was 54 years, and 45% of patients had undergone 2 or more prior autologous transplants. Median OS and PFS from the time of allogeneic transplantation for the entire population were about 25 months and 10 months, respectively. Cumulative NRM at 1 year was about 22%. In a multivariate analysis, cytomegalovirus (CMV) seronegativity of both patient and donor was associated with significantly better PFS, OS, and NRM. Patient-donor sex mismatch was associated with better PFS; fewer than 2 prior autologous transplants was associated with better OS; and shorter time from the first autologous HCT to the RIC allo-HCT was associated with lower NRM. These results suggested patient and donor CMV seronegativity represent key prognostic factors for outcome after RIC allo-HCT for MM that relapses or progresses following 1 or more autologous transplants.

**POEMS SYNDROME**

**Systematic Reviews**

2012 Cochrane review published provides a comprehensive source on the treatment of POEMS syndrome. Reviewers performed a broad literature search and identified no RCTs, no quasi-RCTs, no historically controlled trials, and no trials with concurrent controls that met selection criteria. Reviewers selected 6 small series (total N=57 patients) evaluating autologous HCT. Two-year
survival rates ranged from 94% to 100%. Pooled results suggested that TRM with autologous HCT would be 3 (2.7%) of 112. The reviewers cautioned that long-term outcomes with autologous HCT have not been evaluated and require continuing study.

A second 2012 review article found that case series suggested most patients achieve at least some neurologic and functional improvement using conditioning doses of melphalan ranging from 140 to 200 mg/m². Responses have been reported as durable but relapse occurs. Symptomatic progression has typically been reported as rare, with most progressions identified as rising vascular endothelial growth factor and radiographic. The reviewer also reported that long-term outcomes with autologous HCT are unclear given the sparse numbers.

**Case Series**

A single-center series published in 2012 reported a 5-year OS rate of 94% and a PFS rate of 75% among 59 patients entered between 1999 and late 2011. A second series (2014) included 9 patients with advanced POEMS syndrome who had Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status scores of 3 or 4 and were treated with high-dose melphalan therapy followed by autologous HCT from 2004 to 2011. Eight patients achieved an initial hematologic response, 4 of whom had CRs. At a median follow-up of 44 months (range, 8-94 months), 7 patients were alive, with a 3-year OS rate of 78%. There were no hematologic relapses in the survivors. One patient died of disease progression; the other died of pneumonia, despite a hematologic response 3 months after autologous HCT. All survivors improved in general performance status and in clinical response.

**Section Summary: POEMS Syndrome**

There is a lack of RCT evidence for POEMS syndrome, but cohort studies and case series have reported improvement in symptoms and disease progression after HCT. POEMS syndrome is rare and treatment options are few. In addition, the natural history of POEMS does not suggest that spontaneous improvement will occur in the absence of treatment.

**SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE**

**Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma**

For individuals who have newly diagnosed multiple myeloma who receive autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) as initial treatment, the evidence includes several prospective, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that compared conventional chemotherapy to high-dose chemotherapy plus autologous HCT. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. In general, the evidence has suggested overall survival rates are improved with autologous HCT compared with conventional chemotherapy in this setting. Limitations of the published evidence include patient heterogeneity, variability in treatment protocols, short follow-up periods, inconsistency in reporting important health outcomes, and inconsistency in reporting or collecting outcomes. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have newly diagnosed multiple myeloma who receive tandem autologous HCT, the evidence includes several RCTs. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. Compared with single autologous HCT, a number of RCTs demonstrated tandem autologous HCT improved OS and recurrence-free survival in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma.
The available RCTs compare RIC allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT) following a first autologous HCT with single or tandem autologous transplants. The RCTs were based on “genetic randomization,” ie, patients with a human leukocyte antigen-identical sibling who were offered an RIC allo-HCT following autologous HCT, whereas other patients underwent either 1 or 2 autologous transplants. Although the body of evidence has shown inconsistencies in terms of overall survival and disease-free survival rates, some studies have shown a survival benefit with tandem autologous HCT followed by RIC allogeneic HCT, although at a cost of higher transplant-related mortality compared with conventional treatments. Factors across studies that may account for differing trial results include different study designs, nonuniform preparative regimens, different patient characteristics (including risk stratification), and criteria for advancing to a second transplant. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have newly diagnosed multiple myeloma who receive allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT) with as initial or salvage treatment, the evidence includes nonrandomized studies. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. Studies have reported on patients with both myeloablative and RIC conditioning. Limitations of the published evidence include patient sample heterogeneity, variability in treatment protocols, short follow-up periods, inconsistency in reporting important health outcomes, and inconsistency in reporting or collecting outcomes. Nonmyeloablative allo-HCT as first-line therapy is associated with lower transplant-related mortality but a greater risk of relapse; convincing evidence is lacking that allo-HCT improves survival better than autologous HCT. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Relapsed or Refractory Multiple Myeloma**

For individuals who have relapsed multiple myeloma who receive autologous HCT after failing an autologous HCT, the evidence includes 1 RCT and a systematic review summarizing data from 4 series of patients who relapsed after a first autologous HCT. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. Despite some limitations of the published evidence, including patient sample heterogeneity, variability in treatment protocols, and short follow-up periods, the available trial evidence has suggested overall survival rates are improved with autologous HCT compared with conventional chemotherapy in this setting. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have refractory multiple myeloma who receive tandem autologous HCT after failing the first transplant, the evidence includes 3 RCTs. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. The evidence has shown tandem autologous HCT improves overall survival rates in this setting. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

**POEMS Syndrome**

The evidence for HSCT of any type in patients with POEMS syndrome who receive HCT, the evidence includes case reports and series. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. No RCTs of HCT of any type have been performed in...
patients with POEMS syndrome of any severity, nor is it likely such studies will be performed because of the rarity of this condition. Available case reports and series are subject to selection bias and are heterogeneous with respect to treatment approaches and peritransplant support. However, for patients with disseminated POEMS syndrome, a chain of evidence and contextual factors related to the disease and multiple myeloma suggest improvement in health outcomes with autologous HCT. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

CLINICAL INPUT FROM PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY SOCIETIES AND ACADEMIC MEDICAL CENTERS

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

2016 Input

In response to requests, input was received from 1 specialty medical society, 1 academic medical center, and 2 Blue Distinction Centers for Transplant while this policy was under review in 2016. There was consensus that allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is investigational for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma and as salvage therapy after primary graft failure and for primary progressive disease.

2013 Input

In response to requests, input was received from 3 academic medical centers and 6 Blue Distinction Centers for Transplant while this policy was under review in 2013. There was near-consensus that autologous HCT is medically necessary for POEMS syndrome and near-consensus that allogeneic and tandem HCT are investigational for POEMS syndrome.

2009 Input

In response to requests, input was received from 2 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2009. One reviewer agreed with the current policy statement related to tandem autologous followed by RIC allogeneic and the other disagreed. Those providing input agreed with the other policy statements. (The conclusion that allogeneic HCT is investigational for salvage therapy was a late addition to the policy and was not sent for clinical input.)

PRACTICE GUIDELINES AND POSITION STATEMENTS

American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation

In 2015, the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (ASBMT) published evidence-based guidelines on the use of hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in patients with multiple myeloma (MM). ASBMT recognized that much of the evidence from randomized controlled trials summarized in the 2015 guidelines came from trials that predated the novel triple-therapy induction regimens. Furthermore, advances in supportive care and earlier disease detection have
increasingly influenced decision making and allow individual tailoring of therapy. ASBMT guidelines did not address POEMS or other plasma cell dyscrasias besides MM.

In 2015, ASBMT, European Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, Blood and Marrow Transplant Clinical Trials Network, and International Myeloma Working Group published joint guidelines based on an expert consensus conference. These guidelines contained the following recommendations for HCT as salvage therapy:

“…autologous HCT: (1) In transplantation-eligible patients relapsing after primary therapy that did NOT include an autologous HCT, high-dose therapy with HCT as part of salvage therapy should be considered standard; (2) High-dose therapy and autologous HCT should be considered appropriate therapy for any patients relapsing after primary therapy that includes an autologous HCT with initial remission duration of more than 18 months; (3) High-dose therapy and autologous HCT can be used as bridging strategy to allogeneic HCT; (4) The role of postsalvage HCT maintenance needs to be explored in the context of well-designed prospective trials that should include new agents, such as monoclonal antibodies, -modulating agents, and oral proteasome inhibitors; (5) Autologous HCT consolidation should be explored as a strategy to develop novel conditioning regimens or post-HCT strategies in patients with short remission (less than 18 months remissions) after primary therapy (and (6) Prospective randomized trials need to be performed to define the role of salvage autologous HCT in patients with MM [multiple myeloma] relapsing after primary therapy comparing to ‘best non-HCT’ therapy.”

Regarding allogeneic HCT...: (1) Allogeneic HCT should be considered appropriate therapy for any eligible patient with early relapse (less than 24 months) after primary therapy that included an autologous HCT and/or with high-risk features (ie, cytogenetics, extramedullary disease, plasma cell leukemia, or high lactate dehydrogenase); (2) Allogeneic HCT should be performed in the context of a clinical trial if possible; (3) The role of post allogeneic HCT maintenance therapy needs to be explored in the context of well-designed prospective trials; and (4) Prospective randomized trials need to be performed to define the role of salvage allogeneic HCT in patients with MM relapsing after primary therapy.”

**Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy**

**Treatment of Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma**

The 2013 consensus guideline on the management of newly diagnosed symptomatic multiple myeloma, updating the Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk Adapted Therapy (mSMART), stated there is a greater emphasis on delayed high-dose therapy and autologous cell transplant (ACT). With improved induction therapies resulting in deeper responses, many patients are opting to collect their stem cells and delay ACT while undergoing prolonged induction. Recent evidence has supported this strategy, demonstrating the ongoing benefit of ACT even when delayed.

**Treatment of Relapsed Multiple Myeloma**

Based on the 2012 mSMART multiple myeloma update, if patients are considered transplant eligible (off-study), risk status should be determined. If patients have standard risk and relapsed after autologous transplant, repeat autologous transplant is an option, after a bortezomib or immunomodulatory derivative-containing regimen. If standard-risk patients relapse after
conventional chemotherapy, the recommendation is to proceed to autologous HCT or to repeat the previous regimen to maximum response or 1 year. If patients have high risk and relapses after an autologous transplant, an autologous followed by an allogeneic transplant is an option in select patients. If high-risk patients relapse after bortezomib or immunomodulatory-based initial therapy, autotransplant (followed by allogeneic in selected patients) is recommended.

**International Myeloma Working Group**

The conclusions and recommendations of the International Myeloma Working Group consensus statement on the current status of allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT) for MM are as follows: Myeloablative allogeneic HCT may cure a minority of patients but is associated with a high transplant-related mortality (TRM), but could be evaluated in well-designed prospective clinical trials. Nonmyeloablative allo-HCT as first-line therapy is associated with lower TRM but a greater risk of relapse, and convincing evidence is lacking that allo-HCT improves survival compared with autologous HCT.

**National Comprehensive Cancer Network**

**Autologous HCT**

Autologous HSCT is considered The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines (v,3.2017) consider autologous HCT a category 1 recommendation as follow-up to induction therapy for newly diagnosed MM and as a category 1 recommendation for relapsed or progressive disease if the patient is considered a transplant candidate.

**Tandem HCT**

NCCN recommends collecting enough stem cells for 2 transplants in all eligible patients.

**Allo-HCT**

NCCN recommends the following for allo-HCT: “Allogenic stem cell transplant may include nonmyeloablative (mini) following autologous stem cell transplant or fully myeloablative, preferably on a clinical trial. Current data do not support miniallografting alone” (category 2A).

**POEMS Syndrome**

NCCN guidelines do not address the treatment of POEMS syndrome.

**U.S. PREVENTIVE SERVICES TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS**

Not applicable.

**MEDICARE NATIONAL COVERAGE**

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

**ONGOING AND UNPUBLISHED CLINICAL TRIALS**

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 2.
### Table 2. Summary of Key Trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Clinical Trial (NCT) No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ongoing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00998270</td>
<td>A Prospective, Randomized Trial of Autologous Bone Marrow Transplantation Compare With Allogenic Bone Marrow Transplantation in Multiple Myeloma</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>Oct 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01208662</td>
<td>A Randomized Phase III Study Comparing Conventional Dose Treatment Using a Combination of Lenalidomide, Bortezomib, and Dexamethasone (RVD) to High-dose Treatment With Peripheral Stem Cell Transplant in the Initial Management of Myeloma in Patients up to 65 Years of Age</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>Sep 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02322320</td>
<td>Continued, Long-Term follow-Up and Lenalidomide Maintenance Therapy for Patients on BMT CTN 0702 (BMT CTN #Q07LT)</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01109004</td>
<td>A Trial of Single Autologous Transplant With or Without Consolidation Therapy Versus Tandem Autologous Transplant With Lenalidomide Maintenance for Patients With Multiple Myeloma (BMT CTN 0702)</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>May 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01191060</td>
<td>Randomized Study Comparing Conventional Dose Treatment Using a Combination of Lenalidomide, Bortezomib and Dexamethasone to High-Dose Treatment With ASCT in the Initial Management of Myeloma in Patients up to 65 Years of Age</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Sep 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01208766</td>
<td>A Randomized Phase III Study to Compare Bortezomib, Melphalan, Prednisone (VMP) With High Dose Melphalan Followed by Bortezomib, Lenalidomide, Dexamethasone (VRD) Consolidation and Lenalidomide Maintenance in Patients With Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Apr 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unpublished</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00747877</td>
<td>Myeloma X Relapse (Intensive): A Phase III Study to Determine the Role of a Second Autologous Stem Cell Transplant as Consolidation Therapy in Patients With Relapsed Multiple Myeloma Following Prior</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>Apr 2012 (unknown)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

| High-dose Chemotherapy and Autologous Stem Cell Rescue | NCT00670631 | Tandem Autotransplantation for Multiple Patients With Less Than 12 Months of Preceding Therapy, Incorporating Bortezomib With the Transplant Chemotherapy and During Maintenance | Apr 2014 (unknown) |

VIII. Important Reminder

The purpose of this Medical Policy is to provide a guide to coverage. This Medical Policy is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Nothing in this Medical Policy is intended to discourage or prohibit providing other medical advice or treatment deemed appropriate by the treating physician.

Benefit determinations are subject to applicable member contract language. To the extent there are any conflicts between these guidelines and the contract language, the contract language will control.

This Medical Policy has been developed through consideration of the medical necessity criteria under Hawaii’s Patients’ Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4), generally accepted standards of medical practice and review of medical literature and government approval status. HMSA has determined that services not covered under this Medical Policy will not be medically necessary under Hawaii law in most cases. If a treating physician disagrees with HMSA’s determination as to medical necessity in a given case, the physician may request that HMSA reconsider the application of the medical necessity criteria to the case at issue in light of any supporting documentation.

IX. References


